A closed-form necessary and sufficient condition for any two-qubit state to show hidden nonlocality w.r.t the Bell-CHSH inequality

Rajarshi Pal*

Optics & Quantum Information Group, The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, C. I. T. Campus, Taramani, Chennai 600113, India

Sibasish Ghosh†

Optics & Quantum Information Group, The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, C. I. T. Campus, Taramani, Chennai 600113, India

arXiv:1410.7574v1 [quant-ph] 28 Oct 2014

In this note, we discuss a closed-form necessary and sufficient condition for any two-qubit state to show hidden nonlocality w.r.t the Bell-CHSH inequality. This is then used to numerically compute the relative volume of states showing hidden Bell-CHSH non-locality , among all two-qubit states with one-sided reduction maximally mixed.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Bz,89.70.+c, 03.67.Mn, 42.50.Dv

I. INTRODUCTION:

Nonlocality, other than being one of the most characteristic features of quantum mechanics has also been established as a resource for quantum information processing([1]). Particularly, in recent years *device independent quantum information processing* has emerged where quantum nonlocality is considered to be the main resource as opposed to entanglement[1]. The characterization and quantification of quantum non-locality is thus of prime importance from an information theoretic point of view.

Nonlocality of certain quantum states can be *revealed* by post-selection through local filters before performing a standard Bell-test. This phenomenon(called 'hidden nonlocality') has received widespread attention([1],[5]) in the study of quantum non-locality and its interrelation with entanglement ever since the first examples of it were produced in [7], [4].However in spite of the progress made so far it is not known for any Bell inequality(in a closed-form), what are the necessary and sufficient conditions for a quantum state to show hidden non-locality. In this work we fill this gap by providing a closed-form necessary-sufficient condition for any two-qubit state to show hidden

*Electronic address: rajarshi@imsc.res.in

†Electronic address: sibasish@imsc.res.in

nonlocality with a single copy w.r.t the Bell-CHSH inequality.Or main result is given by Theorem 1 in the next section.

II. HIDDEN BELL-CHSH NONLOCALITY

Defn.

Consider a local filtering transformation taking any two-qubit state ρ to another two-qubit state

$$\rho' = \frac{(A \otimes B)\rho(A^\dagger \otimes B^\dagger)}{Tr(A^\dagger A \otimes B^\dagger B \rho)} \tag{1}$$

Then, ρ is said to show hidden non-locality w.r.t the Bell-CHSH inequality iff ρ' violates the Bell-CHSH inequality [2] for at least one choice of A,B.

Let R be the real 4×4 matrix with $R_{ij} = Tr(\rho \sigma_i \otimes \sigma_j), i, j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ (where $\sigma_0 = I_2$). Further let $C_\rho = MRMR^T$ with $M = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$.

Theorem 1: Let $\lambda_i(C_\rho), (i = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ denote the eigenvalues of C_ρ in descending order for an arbitrary two-qubit state ρ. Then, ρ shows hidden nonlocality w.r.t the Bell-CHSH inequality iff

$$\lambda_1(C_\rho) + \lambda_2(C_\rho) > \lambda_0(C_\rho). \tag{2}$$

The maximum Bell violation obtained from the optimal filtered (or quasi-distilled) Bell-diagonal state being $2\sqrt{\frac{(\lambda_1(C_\rho)+\lambda_2(C_\rho))}{\lambda_0(C_\rho)}}$.

Proof:

Under a local filtering transformation taking any two-qubit state ρ to an unnormalized state

$$\rho' = (A \otimes B)\rho(A^\dagger \otimes B^\dagger), \tag{3}$$

the real 4×4 matrix R transforms as [9]

$$R' \equiv Tr(\rho' \sigma_i \otimes \sigma_j) = L_A R L_B^T |det(A)||det(B)| \tag{4}$$

with the Lorentz transformations L_A and L_B being given by,

$$L_A = \frac{T(A \otimes A^*)T^\dagger}{|det(A)|},$$
$$L_B = \frac{T(B \otimes B^*)T^\dagger}{|det(B)|}, \tag{5}$$

and $T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i & -i & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$, with the normalisation factor $R'_{00} = Tr(\rho') = Tr(A^\dagger A \otimes B^\dagger B \rho)$.

Remark: Note that the filters A, B must be of full rank for the Lorentz transformations to be finite.

It was shown in [9] and [8] that by suitably choosing A and B and hence proper orthochronous Lorentz transformations L_A , L_B for *any* ρ we can have R' to be either diagonal corresponding to a Bell-diagonal state ρ' or of the form,

$$R' = R_{\rho'} = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d & 0 \\ c & 0 & 0 & (b+c-a) \end{bmatrix} \tag{6}$$

with the corresponding ρ'(unnormalized) being

$$\rho' = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} b+c & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a-b & d & 0 \\ 0 & d & (a-c) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

The possible sets of real values of b, c and d are given by,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{(i)} \quad b = c = \frac{a}{2}, \\ &\text{(ii)} \quad (d = 0 = c) \text{ and } (b = a), \\ &\text{(iii)} \quad (d = 0 = b) \text{ and } (c = a), \\ &\text{(iv)} \quad (d = 0) \text{ and } (a = b = c). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Case (i) corrsponds to rank three or two states while the other cases corrrespond to either the product states $|00\rangle\langle 00|$ or the state $|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes \frac{I}{2}$.

From eqn. (4) it follows that the spectrum of $MR'MR'^T$ is given by

$$\lambda(MR'MR'^T) = |det(A)|^2 |det(B)|^2 \lambda(ML_A R L_B^T M L_B R^T L_A^T) = |det(A)|^2 |det(B)|^2 \lambda(MRMR^T), \tag{9}$$

where we have used $L_A^T M L_A = M = L_B^T M L_B$. Now as the filters A and B are of full rank i.e, $det(A), det(B) \neq 0$ we have for each for each $i \in \{0,1,2,3\}$

$$\frac{\lambda_i(C_{\rho'})}{\lambda_0(C_{\rho'})} = \frac{\lambda_i(C_\rho)}{\lambda_0(C_\rho)}. \tag{10}$$

Let us consider the following cases now.

(a) $R' = \mathrm{diag}(s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3)$. ρ' corresponds to a Bell-diagonal state which in turn violates the Bell-CHSH inequality ([6]) after normalization provided,

$$1 < \frac{s_1^2}{s_0^2} + \frac{s_2^2}{s_0^2} = \frac{\lambda_1(C_{\rho'}) + \lambda_2(C_{\rho'})}{\lambda_0(C_{\rho'})} = \frac{\lambda_1(C_\rho) + \lambda_2(C_\rho)}{\lambda_0(C_\rho)}.$$

(by eqn. (10)). This proves Thoerem 1 for this case.

(b) ρ' is of the non Bell-diagonal form with $d \neq 0$ in eqn. (7) (case (i) of eqn. (8)) .

It is easy to see by partial transposition that ρ' must be entangled .

Further by using filters of the form of $A = \mathrm{diag}(\sqrt{\frac{(a-c)}{(a-b)}}\frac{1}{n}, 1)$ and $B = \mathrm{diag}(\frac{1}{n}, 1)$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1 &= (A \otimes B)\rho(A^\dagger \otimes B^\dagger) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{(b+c)(a-c)}{(a-b)n^4}|00\rangle\langle 00| + \frac{(a-c)}{n^2}(|01\rangle\langle 01| + |10\rangle\langle 10|) + \frac{d\sqrt{(a-c)}}{n^2\sqrt{(a-b)}}(|01\rangle\langle 10| + |10\rangle\langle 01| + |11\rangle\langle 11|)\right)\end{aligned}$$

By taking a very large positive no. n , $\rho_2 = \frac{\rho_1}{Tr(\rho_1)}$ can be made to approach arbitrarily close to the Bell-diagonal state

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_3 &= \frac{1}{2}((|01\rangle\langle 01| + |10\rangle\langle 10|) + \frac{d}{\sqrt{(a-b)(a-c)}}(|01\rangle\langle 10| + |10\rangle\langle 01|)) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(I \otimes I + \frac{d}{\sqrt{(a-c)(a-b)}}\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_1 + \frac{d}{\sqrt{(a-c)(a-b)}}\sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_2 - \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3).\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Now, from eqn. (7) we have $\lambda(C_{\rho'}) = [(a-b)(a-c), (a-b)(a-c), d^2, d^2]$.

From theorem 3 of ref. [10] we also know that the optimal Bell-violation among the states connected to ρ by local filtering transformations is obtained from the 'quasi-distilled' state ρ_3. Hence by using eqn. (10) we obtain an optimal Bell violation of amount

$$1 + \frac{d^2}{(a-b)(a-c)} = \frac{\lambda_1(C_{\rho'}) + \lambda_2(C_{\rho'})}{\lambda_0(C_{\rho'})} = \frac{\lambda_1(C_\rho) + \lambda_2(C_\rho)}{\lambda_0(C_\rho)} > 1 \tag{13}$$

(note that $(a-b)(a-c) \geq d^2$ by virtue of positivity of ρ')

Thus states for which ρ' is not Bell-diagonal ($d \neq 0$ case) will *always* violate the Bell-CHSH inequality after suitable local filtering transformation.

(c) ρ' is of the non Bell-diagonal form with $d = 0$ in eqn. (7) (cases (ii), (iii) and (iv) of eqn. (8)) . These states being of the product form must come from a separable ρ (local filtering with full rank filters being invertible) and from eqns. (7) and (9) we have $\lambda_i(C_\rho) = \lambda_i(C_{\rho'}) = 0$ for all i. Thus Theorem 1 holds.

Conversely, when eqn. (2) is satisfied we can either filter or quasi-distill ρ to a Bell-diagonal state with optimal Bell-violation $2\sqrt{\frac{(\lambda_1(C_\rho)+\lambda_2(C_\rho))}{\lambda_0(C_\rho)}}$.

□

III. APPLICATIONS

Using theorem 1 we have numerically computed the relative volume of states showing hidden Bell-CHSH non-locality , among all two-qubit states with one-sided reduction maximally mixed. The latter form a six parameter family isomorphic to the set of all qubit channels. The relative volumes of states which do *not* show hidden Bell-CHSH non-locality and separable states turn out to be about 0.39 and 0.24 respectively, while that of states which satisfy the Bell-CHSH inequality *without* post-selection through local filters is about 0.81 . Thus the post-selection restriction considerably reduces the difference between entangled and non-local states and it will be interesting to see how far more it is reduced as one considers more inequalities like I_{3322} [3].

IV. CONCLUSION

In this note we have described a closed-form necessary and sufficient condition for any two-qubit state to show hidden nonlocality w.r.t the Bell-CHSH inequality.We believe this is a useful step in the quantification of nonlocality and will aid in further studies of quantum non-locality as a resource and in its comparison with entanglement.

---

[1] Nicolas Brunner, Daniel Cavalcanti, Stefano Pironio, Valerio Scarani, and Stephanie Wehner. Bell nonlocality. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 86:419–478, Apr 2014.

[2] John F. Clauser, Michael A. Horne, Abner Shimony, and Richard A. Holt. Proposed experiment to test local hidden-variable theories. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 23:880–884, Oct 1969.

[3] Daniel Collins and Nicolas Gisin. A relevant two qubit bell inequality inequivalent to the chsh inequality. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 37(5):1775, 2004.

[4] N. Gisin. Hidden quantum nonlocality revealed by local filters. *Physics Letters A*, 210(3):151 – 156, 1996.

[5] Flavien Hirsch, Marco Túlio Quintino, Joseph Bowles, and Nicolas Brunner. Genuine hidden quantum nonlocality. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 111:160402, Oct 2013.

[6] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and M. Horodecki. Violating bell inequality by mixed spin-12 states: necessary and sufficient condition. *Phys. Lett. A*, 200(5):340–344, 1995.

[7] Sandu Popescu. Bell's inequalities and density matrices: Revealing "hidden" nonlocality. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 74:2619–2622, Apr 1995.

[8] Frank Verstraete, Jeroen Dehaene, and Bart De Moor. Lorentz singular-value decomposition and its applications to pure states of three qubits. *Phys. Rev. A*, 65:032308, Feb 2002.

[9] Frank Verstraete, Jeroen Dehaene, and Bart DeMoor. Local filtering operations on two qubits. *Phys. Rev. A*, 64:010101, Jun 2001.

[10] Frank Verstraete and Michael Wolf. Entanglement versus Bell Violations and Their Behavior under Local Filtering Operations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 89(17):17–20, October 2002.